



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.

FILING DATE

PILLSBURY MADISON & SUTRO 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE NW

WASHINGTON DC 20005-3918

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/011,691

NINTH FLOOR EAST TOWER

02/13/98

PHELAN

S

PMS245063

PM82/0307

EXAMINER

MARC COLEMAN, M

ART UNIT

3661

DATE MAILED:

03/07/00

PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 09/011,619

Applicant(s)

Phelan

Office Action Summary Examiner

Marthe Marc-coleman

Group Art Unit 3661



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 14, 2000	<u> </u>
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for fo in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to exis longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Relation The drawing(s) filed on	to by the Examiner isapproveddisapproved. der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). the priority documents have been er) ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE	FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09/011,691 Page 2

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This office action is responsive to applicant's amendment filed on 1/14/00.
- 2. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b). Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because the certified translated copy has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 4. Claims 30-32, 40-44, 46-48, 56 and 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Bouve et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,682,585).

In regard to claims 30, 46, and 63, Bouve et al. disclose:

- storing on a map server computer map data representing of a map of a geographical area (see col. 2 lines 13-17);

Art Unit: 3661

- storing on the map server computer coordinates of at least one point associated with the geographical area represented by the map so as to enable correlation of points on the map with their corresponding geographical location (see abstract and col. 2 lines 10-17, lines 44-52);
- storing on an information server computer information data relating to at least one place of interest within the geographical area, said information data including data representative of the spatial coordinates of the place of interest within the area (see col. 1-col.2 line 10);
- transmitting a map request to the map server computer from a client computer and transmitting from the map server computer to the client computer in response to the map request the map data (see col. 3 lines 14-22, and col. 12 lines 28-34).
- utilizing map data to be displayed on a screen (col. 1 lines 48-53 and col. 5 lines 2-13);
- transmitting an information request to the information server computer from the client computer and transmitting from the information server computer to the client computer in response to the information request the information data relation to at least one place of interest (see col. 3 lines 14-22 and col. 12 lines 28-34);

displaying the information data relating to at least one place of interest on the visual display unit (see Fig. 1).

In regard to claims 31, 32, 47, and 48, Bouve et al. discloses:

- map information request transmitted before information request, information request is transmitted before map request (see abstract).

In regard to claim 40, Bouve et al. disclose:

Art Unit: 3661

- storing on the map server computer a list of categories of places of interest (see col. 2 lines 10-

Page 4

21);

- retrieving the list with the map data and displaying on the visual display unit a respective icon for

each said category (see col.2 lines 45-63 and Fig. 3).

In regard to claim 41, Bouve et al. disclose that the request is effective by activation of a

respective icon on the visual display unit (see Fig. 3 and col. 13-64).

In regard to claims 42 and 56, Bouve et al. disclose a computer system wherein the client

computer includes locating means for establishing the current geographical location of the client

computer and means for passing the current geographical location of the client computer to at

least one of the map server computer and the information server computer (see abstract and col.

12 lines 8-65).

In regard to claims 43 and 44, Bouve et al. Disclose that the locating means uses the

global positioning system, the locating means includes a cellular telephone (see col. 5 line 63 -

col. 6 line 11 and Fig. 6B).

Application/Control Number: 09/011,691 Page 5

Art Unit: 3661

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 38, 39, 54 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bouve et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,682,585) in view of Arikawa (XP 000612712).

In regard to claims 38, 39, 54 and 55, Bouve et al. meet the limitations of claim 30 but do not specifically disclose means for zooming the map image in or out to display an image of, respectively, a smaller or larger geographical area, and means for varying the displayed data relating to the at least one place of interest on the visual display unit so as to take account of the smaller or larger geographical area. They do not specifically disclose that the client computer includes means for formulated a further request to the information server, to identify places of interest lying within the smaller or larger geographical area. These teachings are taught by Arikawa (see page 595 section 4.2 fourth paragraph and sixth paragraph and Fig. 9 and Fig. 4).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to utilize Arikawa's zooming means with Bouve et al.'s computer system because it would provide users with more flexible, specialized and reliable information (see Arikawa page 591 col. 2).

Art Unit: 3661

7. Claims 57-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bouve et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,682,585) in view of Sotiroff et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,852,810).

In regard to claims 57-62, Bouve et al. meet the limitations of claims 30 and 46, but do not specifically disclose that the computer communicated with the map server computer and the information server computer via a World Wide Web. And that the displaying of the information data on the video display unit is performed by using an Internet browser, wherein the map data transmitted from the map server computer is an image file. These teachings are taught by Sotiroff et al. (see col. 1 - col. 2 line 36 and Figs. 1-5).close

At the time of the invention, it would obvious to one skilled in the art to utilize Sotiroff et al.'s with Bouve et al.'s computer system so that a user can vie individual piece of information and form requests for additional information which are forwarded to the information supplier (see Sotiroff et al. col. 2 lines 3-6).

8. The prior arts made of record and not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Philip Davis (XP 000612268), Shimizu, Toshihiko (EP 0539 143), Kosaka et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,748,109), Craport et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,796,634) and Rogers et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,701,451) are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to computer system for a geographical map.

Art Unit: 3661

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 33-37, 45 and 49-53 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

In regard to claims 33-37 and 49-53, none of the references, either singularly or in combination, teach or fairly suggest:

"superposing information relating to the place of interest on the image on the visual display unit, at a position on the image on the visual display unit, at a position on the image corresponding to the location of the place of interest on the map.".

In regard to claim 45, none of the references, either singularly or in combination, teach or fairly suggest:

"means for superimposing on the image an icon indicative of the current geographical location."

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed on 1/14/00 are moot in vie of the new grounds of rejection based on Bouve et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,682,525) and Sotiroff et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,852,810).

Art Unit: 3661

Conclusion

Page 8

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Marc-Coleman Marthe whose telephone number is (703) 305-4970. The

examiner can be reached from Monday through Friday 6:30AM to 4:00PM.

If any attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, William Cuchlinski, can be reached at (703) 308-3873

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should

be directed to Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1111.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D. C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-8623, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park V, 2451 Crystal drive, Arlington. VA.,

Seventh Floor (Receptionist).

WILLIAM A. CUCHLINSKI, JR. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Patent Examiner MYM

Marc-Coleman Marthe

February 29, 2000